

NOT TO BE PUBLISHED IN THE OFFICIAL REPORTS

California Rules of Court, rule 8.1115(a), prohibits courts and parties from citing or relying on opinions not certified for publication or ordered published, except as specified by rule 8.1115(b). This opinion has not been certified for publication or ordered published for purposes of rule 8.1115.

IN THE COURT OF APPEAL OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

SECOND APPELLATE DISTRICT

DIVISION FIVE

THE PEOPLE,

Plaintiff and Respondent,

v.

GARY A. MIMS,

Defendant and Appellant.

B225233

(Los Angeles County
Super. Ct. No. YA077816)

APPEAL from a judgment of the Superior Court of Los Angeles County.

Thomas Sokolov, Judge. Affirmed.

David M. Thompson, under appointment by the Court of Appeal, for Defendant and Appellant.

No appearance for Plaintiff and Respondent.

Defendant and appellant Gary Mims appeals from the judgment entered following his plea of no contest to one count of failing to register as a sex offender. (Pen. Code, § 290, subd. (b).) He was sentenced to 16 months in state prison. He was awarded a total of 57 days of presentence custody credits, consisting of 38 actual days and 19 conduct days. His request for 19 additional days was denied. His notice of appeal specified that appeal was from the calculation of custody credits under Penal Code section 4019, as amended.

We appointed counsel to represent him on appeal. On October 21, 2010, after examination of the record, counsel filed an opening brief in which no issues were raised, and transmitted a copy of the brief and of the record on appeal to appellant, and advised appellant that he could submit a supplemental brief on his own behalf. On October 27, 2010, we advised appellant that he had 30 days in which to submit by brief or letter any argument or contention he wished this court to consider. No response has been received from appellant to date.

We have examined the entire record and are satisfied that appellant's attorney has fully complied with his responsibilities and no arguable issues exist. (*People v. Wende* (1979) 25 Cal.3d 436, 441.)

The judgment is affirmed.

NOT TO BE PUBLISHED IN THE OFFICIAL REPORTS

ARMSTRONG, J.

We concur:

TURNER, P. J.

KRIEGLER, J.